Exhibit 14

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
2	FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION
3	STATE OF FLORIDA,
4	Plaintiff,
5	CASE NUMBER: 48-2008-CF-15606-C
6	vs. DIVISION NUMBER: 16
7	CASEY MARIE ANTHONY, VOLUME I of I
8	Defendant./
9	EXCERPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
10	TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN SHAW
11	BEFORE
12	THE HONORABLE BELVIN PERRY JR.
13	
14	In the Orange County Courthouse
15	Courtroom 23A Orlando, Florida 32801
16	June 13, 2011 Nikki Peters, CRR, RPR
17	APPEARANCES:
18	JEFFREY ASHTON, ATTORNEY LINDA DRANE BURDICK, ATTORNEY
19	FRANK GEORGE, ATTORNEY Assistant State Attorneys
20	415 North Orange Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801
21	On behalf of the State
22	JOSE BAEZ, ATTORNEY
23	522 Simpson Road Kissimmee, Florida 34744
24	On behalf of the Defendant
25	

J. CHENEY MASON, ATTORNEY 390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2100 2 Orlando, Florida 32801 On behalf of the Defendant 3 DOROTHY CLAY SIMS, ATTORNEY 4 118 SW Fort King Street Ocala, Florida 34471 5 On behalf of the Defendant WILLIAM SLABAUGH, ATTORNEY 522 Simpson Road Kissimmee, Florida 34744 7 On behalf of the Defendant LISABETH FRYER, ATTORNEY 390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2100 Orlando, Florida 32801 10 On behalf of the Defendant 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN SHAW	
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ASHTON	5
4	PROFFERED EXAMINATION BY MR. BAEZ	22
5	DIRECT (CONT'G) BY MR. ASHTON	62
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BAEZ	71
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ASHTON	84
8	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BAEZ	86
9	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ASHTON	90
10	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	92
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

THE COURT: Good morning. Let the record reflect 1 2 that the defendant is present, along with counsel for 3 the defendant, assistant state attorneys. 4 Both sides ready to proceed? 5 MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir. 6 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Who will be the State's first witness? 8 9 MR. ASHTON: Stephen Shaw. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring the jury in. 11 (Jury entered the courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of 13 the jury. 14 THE JURY: Good morning. 15 THE COURT: Did you heed all of my previous 16 admonitions during your semi-weekend break? 17 THE JURY: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Does the State recognize the presence of the jury? 19 MR. ASHTON: Yes, Your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: And does the defense? 22 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir, we do. THE COURT: State may call their next witness. 23 24 MR. ASHTON: State would call Stephen Shaw.

STEPHEN SHAW

- 1 was called as a witness and, having first been duly sworn,
- 2 testified as follows:
- 3 **THE WITNESS:** Hi. I'm Stephen Shaw.
- S-T-E-P-H-E-N, S-H-A-W.
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 7 **Q** And Mr. Shaw, how are you employed?
- 8 A I'm a hair and fiber examiner for the Federal
- 9 Bureau of Investigation Laboratory.
- 10 Q And how long have you been employed in that
- 11 capacity?
- 12 A I've held my current position for approximately six
- 13 years.
- 14 **Q** What educational background do you have that led
- 15 you to your present position?
- 16 A I have a Bachelor's of Science and a Master's of
- 17 Science. Both degrees are in textile chemistry, and both
- 18 degrees are from North Carolina State University.
- 19 **Q** After obtaining your degrees, did you immediately
- 20 go to work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation?
- 21 A No. After getting my degrees, I did -- I was in --
- 22 a research associate for the university for approximately six
- 23 months. Then I took another job in the textile industry
- 24 where I was a senior research associate for approximately six
- 25 months, and then I began work at the FBI laboratory.

- 1 Q During your -- your time with the FBI, did you have
- 2 additional education through the FBI itself?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 **Q** What type of training was that?
- 5 A I received -- to become a hair and fiber examiner,
- 6 I received approximately a one-year training program where I
- 7 was under the direct guidance of qualified hair and fiber
- 8 examiners.
- 9 And during that time, I examined numerous hairs,
- 10 numerous fibers, and I had to complete tests throughout my
- 11 training program, including identification tests, matching
- 12 tests, oral boards, as well as moot court exercises.
- 13 **Q** And how long -- and after that year period of time,
- 14 did you then begin working independently as a hair and fiber
- 15 examiner?
- 16 A After completing that year of time, I began working
- independently as a hair and fiber examiner.
- 18 **Q** And have you previously testified as an expert in
- 19 that area in courts of law in the United States?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 **Q** Approximately how many times?
- 22 **A** Twelve times.
- 23 **Q** And in how many different jurisdictions?
- 24 A Um, I've testified prior in Florida, in New York,
- 25 Virgin Islands, California, Montana, Illinois, Virginia, are

- 1 a few.
- 2 MR. ASHTON: All right. At this time, Your Honor,
- 3 I would submit the witness as an expert in the area of
- 4 hair and -- forensic hair and fiber identification and
- 5 analysis.
- 6 **THE COURT:** What says the defense?
- 7 MR. BAEZ: No objections.
- 8 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the
- 9 witness will be accepted as an expert witness in the
- 10 area of hair and fiber identification.
- 11 You may continue, Mr. Ashton.
- 12 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 13 **Q** Sir, back in the middle part of 2008, you were
- 14 working at the FBI Lab; is that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q Did you become involved in doing a confirmation
- 17 examination of a hair in the case involving Caylee Marie
- 18 Anthony, which was designated as Q12, that Karen Korsberg
- 19 Lowe had previously examined?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 **Q** What's the purpose of that process of confirmation
- 22 as I think you call it?
- 23 A Yeah. The confirmation process is really a quality
- 24 assurance step to make sure any time --
- 25 MR. BAEZ: Judge, at this time -- I apologize,

- 1 Mr. Shaw.
- At this time, we would object and renew our
- 3 previous motions and objections under Frye.
- 4 THE COURT: Based upon that objection, objection
- 5 will be overruled.
- 6 You may continue.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 9 **Q** Go ahead.
- 10 **A** So it's a part of our quality assurance procedure.
- 11 So whenever we have an identification or we associate -- an
- 12 association, we then have a second examiner to do an
- independent examination to see if they can reach the same
- 14 conclusion or not.
- Now, when you do that, do you already know the
- 16 conclusions that the other examiner has found?
- 17 A Yes, we do.
- 18 **Q** So in this case, were you looking to confirm the
- 19 identification of apparent decomposition; is that -- just
- 20 that or the -- the comparison to the purported known hair, as
- 21 well?
- 22 **A** It was a confirmation for the apparent
- 23 decomposition, as well as microscopic similarities to a hair
- 24 collected from a hairbrush.
- 25 Q And did you confirm both the apparent decomposition

- 1 and the microscopic similarity to the hair from the
- 2 hairbrush?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q Did there come a time later in 2008 when you were
- 5 called upon to examine some additional evidence as to the
- 6 same case?
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 Q What came into the laboratory -- first of all,
- 9 why -- why did you do the second examination, as opposed to
- 10 Ms. Lowe?
- 11 A Because Ms. Lowe wasn't available at the time.
- 12 Q Now, what came into your lab -- were you submitted
- what is referred to as a hair mass?
- 14 A Yes, I was.
- 15 MR. ASHTON: If I could have State's Exhibit 271,
- 16 Madam Clerk.
- 17 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 18 **Q** Let me show you State's Exhibit 271 and ask if you
- 19 recognize that as the item that we just referred to that was
- 20 submitted to your laboratory?
- 21 **A** I do recognize it.
- 22 **Q** All right. And should that contain the hair mass
- 23 that was submitted by Orange County Sheriff's Office or the
- 24 Medical Examiner's Office?
- 25 A Yes. According to the -- the laboratory markings,

- 1 it should.
- 2 Q Okay. Now, what type of examination did you
- 3 perform on -- you designated this Q59 for your purposes,
- 4 correct?
- 5 **A** That's correct.
- 6 Q Okay. So what type of examination did you do on
- 7 State's Exhibit 271, your Q59?
- 8 A I examined the hairs from the hair mass
- 9 microscopically. And I also then compared those hairs to the
- 10 hair previously reported as originating from the trunk of the
- 11 vehicle.
- 12 Q Now, when you examined the hair mass, did you find
- 13 evidence of apparent decomposition on the hair mass?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 Did you find a band similar to what you had seen on
- 16 the Q12 hair in the hair mass?
- 17 **A** Um, there was a band just -- just above the end of
- 18 the root. It was not similar, I would say, to the hair in
- 19 the trunk, the band itself. It was a band just above the
- 20 root. And the root itself had sort of a brush-like
- 21 appearance. So it's really a later stage of apparent
- 22 decomposition than what was seen in the trunk.
- 23 Q So the -- the hair in the trunk, did it still -- it
- 24 had the band and still had the root, if that -- do I
- 25 understand that correctly?

- 1 A It had -- the hair in the trunk, yes, still had a
- 2 band with root material below the band.
- 3 **Q** And the hairs in the Q59 had the band, but the root
- 4 had a brush-like appearance?
- 5 **A** That's correct.
- 6 Q And is that brush-like appearance associated with
- 7 later stages of decomposition?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Now, did you also compare the -- the hairs in
- 10 Q59 -- um, in essence, as a -- as a purported known sample of
- 11 the victim's hair to the hair from Q59 to determine whether
- 12 they were microscopically similar?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
- 14 **Q** And what was the conclusion of that comparison?
- 15 A The -- the previously reported Q12 hair, Caucasian
- 16 head hair, exhibiting characteristics of apparent
- 17 decomposition at the root end, exhibited the same microscopic
- 18 characteristics as the Caucasian head hairs from the hair
- 19 mass. Accordingly, this hair and the hair mass are
- 20 consistent with originating from the same source.
- 21 However, it should be noted that hairs are not a
- 22 means of positive identification. In other words, I cannot
- 23 say that a hair did originate from a person to the exclusion
- 24 of all others. I can say it is consistent.
- I should also -- it should also be noted that the

- 1 hair mass itself is not a suitable known hair sample. In
- 2 other words, I did not have hairs plucked from an
- 3 individual's scalp, from a known individual's scalp.
- 4 Q And the reason you -- you add that caveat is
- 5 because, obviously, you, as an examiner, cannot testify that
- 6 the hair mass came from the victim, just that -- all you know
- 7 is it was found with the victim. Is that a correct
- 8 assessment of your caveat?
- 9 A We don't -- we don't know, I don't know, the source
- 10 of those hairs.
- 11 Q All right. Now, have you, in your work at the FBI,
- 12 participated in a research project to further the scientific
- 13 knowledge in the area of this decomposition artifact that
- 14 we've been talking about?
- 15 **A** I have, yes.
- 16 **Q** What type of research project have you been
- 17 involved in?
- 18 A I have been conducting a study that is still
- 19 ongoing. I've just started writing the manuscript and am in
- 20 that phase right now. So the preliminary results are 600
- 21 hairs stored in various locations. These 600 hairs were
- 22 collected from 15 living individuals. So 600 antemortem
- 23 hairs.
- 24 And the hairs, again, were stored in various
- 25 conditions, to include: Indoors, on a windowsill; indoors,

- 1 buried in potting soil that was watered and fertilized
- 2 weekly; indoors, submerged in water; outdoors, in a grassy
- 3 area that received direct sunlight; outdoors, in a wooded
- 4 area that did not receive direct sunlight; and in three
- 5 different vehicles; in the trunk of a vehicle that was used
- 6 during the time periods; underneath the seat of a second
- 7 vehicle that was also used during the time periods; and on
- 8 the dash and in the trunk of the third vehicle that was not
- 9 used during the time periods. And this vehicle was parked in
- 10 a location where it could receive direct sunlight.
- 11 The time periods varied anywhere from approximately
- 12 a week to approximately seven months.
- 13 At the end of those time periods, I then examined
- 14 hairs at the root end, microscopically, to determine changes,
- 15 if there are microscopic changes at the root end. No hairs
- 16 stored in the vehicles and no hairs indoors on the windowsill
- 17 exhibited characteristics of apparent decomposition.
- Some of the hairs stored outdoors, most of the
- 19 hairs buried in potting soil and most of the hairs submerged
- 20 in water, did exhibit characteristics of apparent
- 21 decomposition. And some of these characteristics are similar
- 22 to characteristics we see in hairs removed postmortem.
- However, no postmortem banding was observed in any
- 24 of these hairs. So they did exhibit some apparent
- 25 decomposition, but no banding.

- I then set up a test where I included all hairs 1 2 that had any possible changes at the root end in the test. 3 also included hairs known to be removed from deceased 4 individuals, so known postmortem hairs. Those also were 5 included in this test. 6 The test was given to two different examiners not 7 involved in the initial analysis, but two different 8 examiners. And they were given three answer sheets total. 9 They were each given an answer sheet to do their initial 10 analysis, and they were asked to identify any hairs given to 11 them that exhibited characteristics of apparent decomposition 12 with postmortem banding. 13 Besides our initial analysis answer sheet, they 14 were also given a confirmed result answer sheet where they 15 discussed their initial analysis to come up with one 16 confirmed result. 17 In the confirmed results, the hairs [sic] correctly
- identified all the postmortem banded hairs and excluded all
 of the environmental hairs in the study as being banded. In
 their initial analysis, examiner one correctly identified all
 the postmortem hairs that were banded but also included one
 of the antemortem hairs, one of the environmentally studied
 hairs in the study, as banded.

 Examiner two, correctly, in his initial analysis,

identified all of the postmortem banded hairs, but also

- 1 identified one of the hairs -- one of the antemortem hairs as
- 2 banded.
- 3 So each examiner initially made an error in
- 4 determining banding. With their confirmed results, they
- 5 excluded those two -- those two environmentally stored hairs
- 6 and only had the -- the postmortem banding hairs as a
- 7 confirmed result.
- 8 Q Let me clarify one thing on that. Did each of the
- 9 two -- again, was this test a blind test?
- 10 **A** It was blind in that they didn't know the answers.
- 11 They didn't know if any postmortem hairs were actually put
- 12 into the test. They -- they were aware that I had been doing
- 13 a study on the environmental impact of hairs from living
- 14 individuals. But that -- that's all they knew.
- 15 **Q** All right. And just to clarify, one examiner, in
- 16 his initial examination, picked out one hair as possible
- 17 banding and the other examiner, in his initial examination,
- 18 picked out a different hair as possible banding, and then
- 19 when the two got together, they -- they agreed that both
- 20 should be excluded as postmortem banding; is that correct?
- 21 **A** That is correct.
- 22 **Q** Did you prepare a PowerPoint presentation to
- 23 demonstrate the results of your study?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q All right. Let me show you State's Exhibit QL for

identification and ask you, is that the -- the PowerPoint to 1 2 demonstrate the study? 3 Α Yes, it is. 4 MR. ASHTON: Your Honor, for demonstrative purposes, I would like to publish to the jury State's 5 6 I'm not moving it into evidence. It does not QL. involve the evidence in this case. 7 8 MR. BAEZ: Your Honor, may we approach? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 (The following conference was held at the bench.) 11 MR. BAEZ: Judge, I've never seen this PowerPoint 12 presentation. 13 MR. ASHTON: This contains all the photographs we 14 provided to Ms. Sims pursuant to her request about the 15 photographs in the research study. 16 MR. BAEZ: Just photographs? 17 MR. ASHTON: Just photographs. 18 MS. SIMS: The problem, though, is I asked for all the photographs. I also asked permission to speak with 19 this individual after having seen the -- I wasn't 20 allowed to make copies of the study. That wasn't given 21 22 to me until a couple of days ago. 23 I asked to speak to this individual, and I copied 24 Mr. Ashton on this email, to ask him some questions

because it appears as though one of the scientists may

1 have had an error rate of 30 percent or more. 2 I haven't had an opportunity to talk to him, asked 3 Ms. Wolff, could I speak to him, could I ask him 4 questions about it. I wasn't given all the photographs, 5 and the photographs that I was given were black and 6 white, not very clear. 7 When we got here this morning, we see color photographs that appear to be much easier to see, and 8 9 some of the photographs that I was given were not in that PowerPoint. So I believe --10 11 THE COURT: You need to talk up a little bit so she 12 can hear you. 13 MS. SIMS: I'm sorry. I apologize. 14 Some of the photographs that I was given are not in 15 color, and if -- if that -- those are the photographs that you put on the table -- are those the same ones? 16 17 MR. ASHTON: I believe -- what he told me last night when he gave this to me is this contains the 18 photographs that you were given, including, I believe, 19 those -- those ones that you asked for later that were 20 just given to me last night. 21 22 You know, if -- if the Court -- there's a great 23 deal of email going back and forth on this -- on this 24 issue. And to my understanding, Ms. Wolff has provided 25 to you everything that -- that they could provide, that

1 you've seen all these photographs. 2 As far as interviewing this witness, my 3 understanding from the emails was that you requested 4 that, it was said it was okay as long as I was allowed 5 to be available and present, and that was Saturday 6 afternoon and we hadn't heard anything since then. 7 We've been available. 8 MS. SIMS: From Ms. Wolff? 9 MR. ASHTON: Right. 10 MS. SIMS: And there are 600-plus photographs. I 11 was not provided with all the photographs. I was 12 provided with a few photographs, and they're black and 13 white. You can't see them clearly. Now we see color 14 photographs, which I wasn't given them. 15 MR. ASHTON: This is the first I've heard -- I'm 16 sorry. 17 MS. SIMS: Go ahead. 18 You gave me black and white and they're not clear, and I wasn't allowed to take anything from the FBI, 19 looking -- trying to absorb 600-plus photographs. I 20 wasn't allowed to take -- I asked for digital copies. 21 22 wasn't given digital copies. I asked for everything. 23 THE COURT: Bottom line is, you haven't seen this? 24 MS. SIMS: Well, I --25 THE COURT: All right. Just a second.

(The bench conference was concluded.) 1 THE COURT: Members of the jury, we're going to ask 2 3 that you step out at this time. 4 We have a brief matter we need to take up outside 5 of your presence. 6 (Jury exited the courtroom.) 7 THE COURT: Just publish it. 8 MR. ASHTON: I just put it for the witness and 9 counsel. 10 MR. BAEZ: Judge, if we can do it in the form of a 11 proffer. Obviously, we haven't had an opportunity to 12 discuss this with the witness. 13 COURT REPORTER: Mr. Baez, can you turn your 14 microphone on? 15 MR. BAEZ: I apologize to the court reporter. 16 MR. ASHTON: The witness --17 MR. BAEZ: I'm requesting that we do this in the form of a proffer. Since we haven't had the opportunity 18 to discuss this PowerPoint with the witness, it might be 19 the optimal way of doing this. 20 MR. ASHTON: Well, I'd rather not do a proffer 21 22 because I -- I mean, the witness has been deposed at 23 length about the study. But if counsel has questions of 24 him as they're looking at it, I don't have a problem 25 with them asking them. Or we could just have him come

1 over here, and we can all sit down and go through it, 2 the Court can take a break, the court reporter can take 3 a break, and -- I don't have a problem with that at all. 4 MR. BAEZ: It's -- it's however Your Honor wishes 5 to proceed. We had no knowledge of this PowerPoint. 6 Obviously, since we didn't have knowledge of it, we 7 can't -- we couldn't have discussed it with the witness. 8 So, however --9 MR. ASHTON: Well --10 MR. BAEZ: Excuse me. 11 THE COURT: One at a time, Mr. Ashton. 12 MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir. 13 MR. BAEZ: Whichever way the Court thinks is the 14 most efficient and just way of proceeding, we're in 15 agreement. But I'd certainly like to know what the witness will be describing and -- and talking about as 16 17 he goes through this PowerPoint. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Is it true that the defense has not been given this PowerPoint? 19 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir, it is true we have not 20 received it. 21 22 MR. ASHTON: Just so -- if I might respond to that? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. ASHTON: The actual PowerPoint, no. Everything 25 in the PowerPoint, every photograph, yes. And that's my

1 understanding, and Mr. Shaw can -- let me just ask Mr. Shaw that. 2 3 All the photographs in the PowerPoint have been 4 provided to the defense; is that correct? 5 THE WITNESS: That's correct. They were provided 6 to you. 7 MR. ASHTON: And there's nothing in the PowerPoint 8 but photographs, so it's -- there's no text, there's no 9 discussion, anything like that? 10 THE COURT: Well --11 **COURT REPORTER:** Sorry? 12 MR. BAEZ: I can clarify one more point if the Court feels necessary. 13 14 One, we just received these photographs when we 15 walked in this morning. Two, if -- if there are photographs that were 16 17 previously disclosed, they're black and white 18 photocopies, which is significantly different than something that is in color. 19 Everything that they've been presenting is in 20 color. If they plan on presenting in black and white, 21 22 okay, not a problem. We don't need to proceed any 23 further. But if they're planning on going in living 24 color, there's a difference.

THE COURT: Well, this is what I will do.

I will give them an opportunity to have them 1 2 displayed now. 3 If there are some questions, Ms. Sims, and 4 Mr. Baez, you want to ask of the witness, I will permit 5 you to do that, and then we'll go from there. 6 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir. 7 MR. ASHTON: If -- let Mr. Perez know when you want 8 to go to the next slide. 9 MR. BAEZ: May I stand at the podium? 10 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 11 MR. BAEZ: Well, actually, I can't, 'cause I can't 12 see the monitor, so . . . 13 THE COURT: Isn't there a monitor on the podium? 14 MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir, it's on there. 15 MR. BAEZ: So may I inquire of the witness, 16 Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 PROFFER EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. BAEZ: Mr. Shaw, can you please describe to us what we're 20 looking at on the screen right now? 21 22 Α Yes. These are hairs that were used in the study 23 that I just described. What you see on -- on this particular 24 slide are hairs that were stored indoors on the windowsill 25 for 233 days, before on the left-hand side, before it was

- 1 stored on the windowsill, and after 233 days on the
- 2 right-hand side.
- 3 Q Thank you, sir.
- 4 MR. BAEZ: We can proceed to the next slide.
- 5 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 6 Q And this photograph and this slide -- excuse me,
- 7 this diagram here?
- 8 A This -- also are hairs that were used in the study
- 9 I just described but on a vehicle dash stored for 202 days.
- 10 Again, a before shot on the left and an after photograph on
- 11 the right-hand side.
- 12 **Q** Would you describe the after photograph, the
- darkening in the shaft area of the photograph, as some form
- 14 of decomposition?
- 15 **A** I'm not sure what dark hair you're -- you're
- 16 referring to.
- 17 **Q** I'll show you in a second, if I can -- well, I
- 18 can't. This one doesn't work.
- 19 MR. ASHTON: You got to edit it from here.
- 20 **THE COURT:** You have to do that from the podium.
- 21 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 22 **Q** This area here?
- 23 A No. That is, um . . . the medulla, which is the
- 24 third/central portion of the hair. So it's not apparent
- 25 decomposition.

- 1 **Q** What is the darkening there that we see?
- 2 A That is the medulla. There's three structural
- 3 components to hair: The cuticle surrounds the hair, there's
- 4 the cortex which is underneath that cuticle, and then running
- 5 through the center of the hair is the medulla.
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 9 MR. BAEZ: We can go to the next slide.
- 10 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 11 **Q** And what are we looking at here, sir?
- 12 A These are also hairs I used in the study I just
- 13 described, hairs stored in the trunk of a vehicle, before on
- 14 the left-hand side, and after on the right-hand side.
- 15 **Q** Okay. All right.
- 16 MR. BAEZ: The next slide.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Additional hairs stored outdoors on a
- 18 grassy area, before on the left-hand side, and after on
- 19 the right-hand side.
- MR. BAEZ: Okay. Next photo.
- 21 **THE WITNESS:** Additional hairs stored in wooded
- area, before on the left-hand side, and after on the
- right-hand side.
- 24 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 25 **Q** And the darkening at the root area, what would you

- 1 classify that as on the after photos?
- 2 A That is characteristics of apparent decomposition
- 3 but without a band.
- 4 **Q** Okay.
- 5 MR. BAEZ: We'll go to the next photo.
- 6 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 7 Q And what does this describe, sir?
- 8 A Hair stored outdoors in a wooded area, before on
- 9 the upper left-hand quadrant, and then three after shots
- 10 following that one.
- 11 **Q** And the darkening near the root area, what is --
- 12 what would you describe that as?
- 13 A It is characteristics of apparent decomposition.
- 14 But, again, not a band.
- 15 **Q** Okay.
- MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 17 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 18 **Q** And here?
- 19 A This is, again, more -- more hairs. Before on the
- 20 left-hand side, and after, stored in an outdoor, grassy area.
- 21 **Q** And the darkening at the root area?
- 22 A It appears to be characteristics of apparent
- 23 decomposition but without a band.
- 24 **Q** Okay.
- MR. BAEZ: Next photograph.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Additional hairs used in the study.
- These hairs, left-hand side is before, and then on the
- 3 right-hand side, after.
- And these hairs were stored in an outdoors, grass
- 5 area.
- 6 Again, some apparent decomposition afterwards, but
- 7 no band.
- 8 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 9 And when you refer to the banding, are you
- 10 referring to the apparent decomposition reading -- reaching
- 11 the entire width of the hair?
- 12 A There are several things we look for in a band.
- 13 The band has to be above the root or root bulb, and it has to
- 14 be opaque. And it has to be a defined certain thickness and
- 15 a certain length.
- 16 Q Okay. And so it has to be -- what in here does not
- 17 meet that qualification --
- 18 **A** Um --
- 19 **Q** -- for a band?
- 20 A It's not opaque, it's just some dark striations but
- 21 -- but it's not opaque.
- 22 Q Other than that, it meets all of the other
- 23 requirements?
- 24 A Um, it's also not clearly defined. Some of the --
- 25 some of the striations run down into the root bulb, further

- 1 down into the root.
- 2 **Q** All right.
- 3 MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 4 THE WITNESS: This, again, are hairs stored in an
- 5 outdoors wood area, before on the left-hand side, and
- 6 after on the right-hand side.
- 7 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 8 **Q** And what is the darkening here?
- 9 A That is characteristics of apparent decomposition
- 10 but not a band.
- 11 **Q** Okay.
- 12 MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 13 **THE WITNESS:** Additional hairs submerged in water.
- Before on the upper left-hand quadrant, after on the
- 15 right, upper left and -- and lower center portion of the
- 16 slide.
- 17 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 18 **Q** And what would you characterize the after to look
- 19 like?
- 20 A apparent decomposition but without a band.
- MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 22 **THE WITNESS:** This -- these are also hairs
- 23 submerged in water. Before shot on the left -- upper
- left-hand quadrant, after shots on the upper right-hand
- quadrant and lower center portion of the slide.

- 1 And this hair also exhibits characteristics of
- 2 apparent decomposition but without a band.
- 3 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 4 Q Now, there are certain characteristics that appear
- 5 to be different from those that are submerged in water. Can
- 6 you account for the differences in those or is that just an
- 7 unknown phenomena?
- 8 A I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 9 Q There's -- there appears to be differences between
- 10 some of the hairs that are showing with apparent
- 11 decomposition. Can you account for those differences?
- 12 **A** In the study, the antemortem hairs?
- 13 Yes, the antemortem hairs.
- 14 A No. I don't know why in certain -- you know, the
- 15 apparent decomposition occurs in certain locations versus
- 16 others or why the apparent decomposition looks different
- 17 among the antemortem hairs.
- 18 Q And the difference could be the person, something
- in their genes that makes their hair change?
- 20 A I don't -- I don't know what the difference is.
- 21 \mathbf{Q} Okay. But there are various factors that you may
- 22 consider, correct?
- 23 A There are various factors involved. I just don't
- 24 know what would affect --
- 25 **Q** Okay.

- 1 A -- the -- the apparent decomposition we're seeing
- 2 in these antemortem hairs.
- 4 MR. BAEZ: Next -- next slide.
- 5 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 6 **Q** What do you have here, sir?
- 7 A This is another hair submerged in water for a
- 8 hundred days. Before on the upper left-hand quadrant, after
- 9 on the upper right-hand quadrant and on the lower center
- 10 quadrant.
- 11 **Q** And what do you have here?
- 12 A It also is characteristics of apparent
- 13 decomposition but not what we would classify as a band.
- 14 **Q** Okay.
- 15 MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** Another -- images of hairs used in
- 17 the study I described, submerged in water for a hundred
- 18 days, before on the left-hand side, and after on the
- 19 right-hand side.
- MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 21 BY MR. BAEZ:
- Q What do you have here, sir?
- 23 A Additional hairs submerged in water for a hundred
- 24 days, before on the left-hand side, afterwards on the
- 25 right-hand side.

- 1 Q And is there any apparent decomposition here?
- 2 A Yes. You can -- you can see that the -- the root
- 3 portion -- the soft tissue on the root portion is smaller.
- 4 There are some striations, also.
- 5 MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 6 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 7 **Q** What do you have here, sir?
- 8 A Additional hairs buried in potting soil. Before on
- 9 the left-hand side, and after on the right-hand side.
- 10 Q Now, prior to this case beginning, was there a
- 11 distinction between apparent decomposition and the banding?
- 12 **A** Yes.
- 13 **Q** Okay. So there were, I guess, under your
- 14 protocols, a -- a difference that -- you can make a call on
- 15 what's postmortem banding and what's apparent decomposition?
- 16 **A** Well, apparent decomposition is more of a broader
- 17 category. It includes postmortem banding.
- 18 **Q** Okay. And is there a -- a call that can be made
- 19 that it is postmortem banding or do you just -- or is it
- 20 always listed as apparent decomposition?
- 21 **A** I'm sorry?
- 22 **Q** When you have a finding in a report --
- 23 A Right.
- 25 always -- let me -- I -- let me rephrase that.

- 1 Is it always apparent decomposition or are there
- 2 sometimes decisions made to call things postmortem root
- 3 banding?
- 4 A In -- in your analysis, at least for me, I would
- 5 identify it as apparent decomposition even if it was banding
- 6 and just include in my notes that it was band.
- 7 Q Would that -- would those notes be included in the
- 8 report?
- 9 **A** No.
- 10 **Q** All right.
- 11 MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 12 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 13 **Q** What do you show here, sir?
- 14 A These are additional hairs buried in potting soil.
- 15 And it's before on the left-hand side, and after on the
- 16 right-hand side.
- 17 MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 18 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 19 What do you have here, sir?
- 20 A Again, buried in potting soil for a hundred days,
- 21 before on the left-hand side, and after on the right-hand
- 22 side.
- Okay. Okay. And I think we have one more.
- What do you have here, sir?
- 25 A Again, buried in potting soil for a hundred days,

- 1 before on the left-hand side, and after on the right-hand
- 2 side.
- 3 Q And this also includes the apparent decomposition?
- 4 A Yes, correct.
- 5 MR. BAEZ: All right. I have no further questions,
- 6 Judge.
- 7 MR. ASHTON: There's another --
- 8 MR. BAEZ: There's more?
- 9 MR. ASHTON: There's more than one Power . . .
- MR. BAEZ: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 12 **Q** What do we have here, sir?
- 13 **A** This is a hair stored in water for 17 days
- 14 exhibiting some characteristics of apparent decomposition.
- 15 And -- and some of the characteristics that I'm
- 16 saying -- when I call it apparent decomposition are not
- 17 necessarily similar to hairs we see in -- to the
- 18 decomposition we see in hairs removed postmortem. They're
- 19 just characteristics of apparent decomposition.
- 20 Um, this one is one that was identified as banding
- 21 by examiner one in the study that I conducted.
- 22 Q Okay. And examiner two did not find it to be?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 25 or in a study?

- 1 A They knew it was a study.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 MR. BAEZ: Next slide, please.
- 4 THE WITNESS: That is a photograph of a hair that
- 5 examiner two also identified as -- as banded in the
- 6 initial analysis.
- 7 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 8 Q And the qualifications of these two examiners, are
- 9 they trainees, are they very experienced?
- 10 **A** They're -- they're trained hair and fiber
- 11 examiners.
- 12 Q So they're just like yourself and Ms. Lowe?
- 13 A Yes. They're just as qualified as I am.
- 14 **Q** Okay. All right.
- MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** This is a hair, postmortem hair, that
- 17 was included in the test.
- 18 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 19 **Q** And what are we looking at here exactly?
- 20 **A** This is --
- 21 **Q** What's the history of it, I guess?
- 22 A Postmortem band --
- 23 **Q** Okay.
- 24 **A** -- in this area.
- 25 **Q** And this was taken from a cadaver?

- 1 A Right. Cadaver stored outside, ground surface.
- 2 Q Okay. How long had the person been deceased, this
- 3 one?
- 4 A I don't know. I -- I could look that up in my
- 5 notes. I have it in my notes.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 **Q** Go ahead.
- 9 A The person was placed, according to the notes, on
- 10 January 27th, 2004. And then the hair was collected on March
- 11 the 5th of 2004.
- 12 **Q** And --
- A So it's approximately six days [sic].
- 14 Q And they have --
- 15 **COURT REPORTER:** Sorry?
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** Approximately -- approximately --
- over a month.
- 18 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 19 **Q** Were they completely skeletonized?
- 20 **A** Um, I don't know. I don't believe so.
- 21 **Q** And in what atmospheric conditions was this person?
- 22 **A** I don't --
- 23 Q Were they in cold weather, warm -- warm climate?
- 24 A It was in Tennessee. I don't know exactly what the
- 25 conditions were.

1 Q Tennessee in January? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Okay. 4 MR. BAEZ: Next. THE WITNESS: This is another hair of a -- removed 5 6 postmortem from a cadaver stored outside on the ground 7 surface. 8 MR. BAEZ: Next -- let me go back, I'm sorry. 9 BY MR. BAEZ: Was this the same person, the last slide? 10 Q Yes, it was. 11 Α 12 So it's the same person, two different types of 13 postmortem banding characteristics? 14 Well, they're both postmortem banding. Α 15 But the characteristics appear to be different, do 0 16 they not? 17 One appears to be closer to the root than the 18 other. 19 MR. BAEZ: Next. 20 BY MR. BAEZ: What do we have here? 21 Q 22 Α This is another postmortem hair removed from a 23 cadaver stored inside a house. 24 Not the same person, is it?

Q

Α

No.

- Okay. And where -- where was this person at, in
- 2 Tennessee, as well?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what were the climate conditions?
- 5 A It was January, again. I don't know if this was an
- 6 air-conditioned house or not, or a heated house or not.
- 7 **Q** January in Tennessee?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 And you don't know the conditions about -- the
- 10 atmospheric conditions?
- 11 A No. Not -- I don't have that information with me.
- 12 Q Was it -- so you don't know if this was an
- 13 abandoned house or somewhere -- some -- fortunately, not a
- 14 house that somebody lived in?
- 15 **A** I would hope not, but I don't know for sure.
- 16 Q Could it -- was it from a scene?
- 17 **A** No.
- 18 Q Crime scene or --
- 19 A No, these are all -- these are all tested hairs
- 20 from the University of Tennessee anthropological center.
- 21 **Q** Okay. All right.
- MR. BAEZ: Next slide.
- 23 BY MR. BAEZ:
- Q What do we have here, sir?
- 25 **A** This is a hair removed postmortem from a cadaver

- 1 stored in a vehicle.
- 2 Q And what were the conditions of this person? Was
- 3 this also in Tennessee?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 **Q** What month?
- 6 A February to March.
- 7 Q Okay. And do you know if this -- what the climate
- 8 conditions of that . . .
- 9 A No. Just the general month and location.
- 10 **Q** Okay.
- 11 MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 12 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 13 **Q** What do we have here, sir?
- 14 A Another cadaver stored inside a house in January.
- 15 **Q** In Tennessee?
- 16 **A** Yes, correct.
- 17 **Q** And you don't know whether it was heated,
- 18 air-conditioned, or what the conditions --
- 19 **A** No, I don't.
- 20 **Q** -- of the house?
- MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 22 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 23 **Q** What do you have here?
- 24 A Another postmortem hair with postmortem banding
- 25 from a cadaver outside, on the ground surface, in January and

1 February in Tennessee. 2 Q And this is from what conditions again --3 Α Correct. 4 -- on the ground surface? Q 5 Α Correct. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. BAEZ: Next. 8 THE WITNESS: Another hair, postmortem, removed 9 postmortem, from cadaver stored outside on the ground. BY MR. BAEZ: 10 And what do we have --11 Q 12 Α In February, in Tennessee. 13 February in Tennessee? Q 14 Right. Α 15 Okay. Q 16 MR. BAEZ: Next. 17 THE WITNESS: Another hair from a cadaver stored 18 outside, on the ground surface, in January, Tennessee. 19 MR. BAEZ: Okay. Next. 20 THE WITNESS: Another hair from a cadaver stored outside, on the ground surface, in January, 2004, and 21 22 February. 23 MR. BAEZ: Okay. And next. 24 THE WITNESS: Another hair exhibiting postmortem 25 banding from a cadaver stored outside, on the ground

- 1 surface, in February from Tennessee.
- 2 MR. BAEZ: Okay. Next.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Hair removed from a cadaver stored in
- 4 a vehicle in February and March in Tennessee.
- 5 MR. BAEZ: Next.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Another hair from a cadaver with
- 7 postmortem banding, stored inside a house in January
- 8 2005.
- 9 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 10 **Q** Okay.
- Now, sir, is that the end of it or are there --
- 12 **A** I believe it is.
- 13 MR. ASHTON: I think there's one more.
- MR. BAEZ: Are you going to introduce three
- 15 PowerPoints?
- MR. ASHTON: Did you use all three?
- 17 **THE WITNESS:** This is -- these are additional --
- 18 MR. ASHTON: Okay.
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** -- hairs, sure.
- 20 MR. ASHTON: If you feel they're helpful in your
- 21 explanation, then it's up to you.
- THE WITNESS: No, I really don't.
- 23 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Then we'll just use the two.
- 24 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 25 **Q** Now, sir, the climate is the number one factor

- 1 when -- when you take decomposition into consideration, is it
- 2 not?
- 3 A It's my understanding that the climate can affect
- 4 the rate of decomposition. That's really not my area of
- 5 expertise, but that's -- that's my understanding.
- 6 Q And do you know what type of effect climate has on
- 7 postmortem root banding?
- 8 A Yes. We have studied that some.
- 9 Q Okay. And what have you studied and what are your
- 10 findings?
- 11 A Um, we've studied approximately over 20,000 hairs
- 12 from Tennessee from over 20 individuals. And hairs in warmer
- 13 or just in general, hairs -- or cadavers in warmer climates,
- 14 climates, will produce bands earlier than, um, hairs in
- 15 colder climate, hairs removed in colder climates.
- 16 MR. BAEZ: I have no further questions, Judge.
- If I can have just a moment?
- 18 **THE COURT:** You may.
- 19 (Brief pause.)
- 20 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 21 **Q** Just a brief . . .
- 22 Mr. Shaw, all of these hairs are from adults that
- you have in these PowerPoints, correct?
- 24 A I believe that's true. I don't have all the
- information with me, but I believe that's correct.

- Okay. And the differences in a child's hair --
- 2 there are differences between a child's hair and adult's
- 3 hair, correct?
- 4 A We can't determine that a hair is from a child or
- 5 from an adult. Depending on the age, sometimes the hair can
- 6 be thinner. Sometimes the cuticle can be thinner. But we --
- 7 we can't say that a hair came from a child by examining, or
- 8 an adult.
- 9 **Q** Do you observe, in your experience, different
- 10 characteristics in children's hair versus adults?
- 11 A No, not -- I wouldn't say there are.
- 12 **Q** What about that of a young child, a toddler?
- 13 A A younger child, depending on how young, the hairs
- 14 can be thinner.
- 15 Okay. And how many children's hairs did you use in
- 16 this study?
- 17 **A** Two.
- 18 **Q** Just two hairs?
- 19 **A** Two -- I'm sorry, two test subjects.
- 20 **Q** Two test subjects?
- 21 **A** Yeah. Two -- but -- there was 15 individuals
- 22 total.
- 23 **Q** Okay.
- 24 A Six hundred hairs total. Of the 15 individuals,
- 25 two of those were children.

- And were there differences in some of the findings? 1 Q 2 Α No. 3 Did you expose the children's hairs to the same Q 4 conditions that you exposed the ones that showed apparent 5 decomposition? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Including water? 8 Um, I'll have to check and see. Α 9 Q Please do. 10 (Brief pause.) No. Um, the -- the children were used in the -- my 11 first -- one child was used in the first four locations. My 12 13 last four locations study that I did, there was -- there was 14 all adults. So that included immersed in water, buried in 15 potting soil, one of the -- one of the studies in a wooded 16 area and one of the studies in a -- on a lawn. 17 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, one of the studies on 18 the? 19 THE WITNESS: In the grassy area. 20 BY MR. BAEZ: 21 And none of these subjects, all 15 of them, were Q 22 ever exposed -- their hairs were never exposed to any type of trash or garbage --23 24 Α No.
- 25 **Q** -- material?

1 А Not that I'm aware of. MR. BAEZ: No further questions. 2 3 MR. ASHTON: I have no questions on the proffer. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Baez? 5 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir. We would renew our previous 6 motions and objections. 7 As to these two different PowerPoints, I think we have an issue of them being cumulative, number one; 8 9 number two, the conditions are completely different than 10 that of this case. And we would argue that that creates 11 an unfair prejudice. 12 And, in addition to that, we would consider this 13 improper bolstering. To use hairs from a cadaver in 14 Tennessee, in the winter months, of an adult, that shows 15 postmortem root banding, to a case in Florida in the summertime at very early -- what they -- what the State 16 17 would argue would be very early stages of decomposition, 18 we're talking apples and oranges here, Judge. I think there's no similarity. 19 And it would be improper bolstering. You can't --20 they're not even matching up the same scenarios. And 21 22 even if it were, I -- I think -- I think it would be 23 improper bolstering. 24 THE COURT: What was the second ground that you --

you said cumulative, and you said something else before

1 you got to improper bolstering. 2 MR. BAEZ: 403, Judge. 3 **THE COURT:** 403 in what sense? MR. BAEZ: It's an unfair prejudice. 4 5 THE COURT: Unfair prejudice in what sense, 6 Mr. Baez? 7 MR. BAEZ: Well, we're given this not at the 11th 8 hour, at the last second. We don't have the abilities 9 to conduct an independent study. That study is being 10 introduced, and it's apparent results of a study, and it 11 was specifically designed for this case. 12 THE COURT: So your argument is not a 403, your 13 argument is a Sixth Amendment right to confrontation? 14 MR. BAEZ: And I would -- I would classify the 15 unfair prejudice of this under 403 as well, Judge. I --I think there are multiple -- there are multiple attacks 16 17 on this -- on this study and this -- and these 18 PowerPoints to be used. If -- if we were talking about -- if the witness 19 wants to talk about generic -- show one or two 20 photographs as the previous examiner did to help show 21 22 the jury to understand the differences, that -- that we 23 understand. But to do a complete and entire study with 24 two PowerPoint presentations with approximately 30 25 photographs, I . . . I -- I see numerous issues with

this, Judge. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Shaw, when was this study that you 3 are about to testify to provided to the State of 4 Florida? 5 THE WITNESS: It was in, um, March of 2000 6 and . . . 2011 that we told the State and the defense 7 together that we had conducted a study. 8 THE COURT: What information was provided to the 9 State and what information was provided to the defense, 10 if you know, concerning this study, and when, if you 11 know, sir? 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Um, we told them at that time -- actually, I wasn't present, it's Ms. Lowe 13 14 that informed them that we had been doing a study at 15 that time. And that's all, um . . . I don't know what -- what she said at that time. 16 17 THE COURT: Was the study ever provided to the State of Florida in a written format or a digital 18 format? 19 THE WITNESS: It was provided in a hard copy, the 20 written format, along with these photographs. 21 22 THE COURT: Do you recall whether the photographs 23 was provided to the State in black and white or in 24 color?

THE WITNESS: They were provided in color.

1 THE COURT: Do you recall when that was provided to 2 the State of Florida? I know you said they were advised 3 in March of 2011. 4 THE WITNESS: Right. 5 THE COURT: I'm trying to ascertain when that 6 written study and the color photographs were provided to 7 the State. 8 THE WITNESS: Um, we -- we had a deposition in 9 early May where the photographs, at that point, were 10 discussed, and were forwarded on to both State and the defense. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: The written portion was more recent. 14 I don't -- I don't recall exactly when that entire case 15 packet was -- was FedEx'd to the State. 16 MR. ASHTON: I can assist the Court in that, if you 17 like. 18 THE COURT: One more question. What you provided to the State in terms of 19 photographs, were they in color or were they in black 20 and white? 21 22 THE WITNESS: They were emailed to the Orlando 23 field office. 24 I don't know how they were printed off.

THE COURT: Okay. But were they provided in color

1 or were they in black and white? Or if you don't know, 2 you don't know. 3 THE WITNESS: I emailed them, they were emailed in 4 color. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Ashton, can 6 you . . . 7 MR. ASHTON: I can, sir. The -- as the witness indicated, in March of this 8 9 year we were informed, the State and the defense 10 simultaneously, by Paula Wolff, Assistant General Counsel with the FBI, about the existence of the study. 11 12 There was a telephone conference between myself, Mr. Baez, Ms. Wolff and Ms. Lowe in which the study was 13 14 in general described. Mr. Shaw was set for deposition, 15 which deposition was taken on May the 3rd by Ms. Sims and myself. 16 17 During that, there was discussions about production of the photographs from the study. An arrangement was 18 made over numerous emails over the succeeding weeks 19 between Ms. Sims and Ms. Wolff, copied to me, about 20 viewing the photographs. 21 22 My understanding from these emails was that the 23 photographs in question, or requested, were sent by 24 email to the local FBI field office, and that counsel

was allowed to come to the office and view the

1 photographs -- I was not there -- presumably in color, 2 but Ms. Sims can speak to that, whether they were in 3 color or not. 4 There was additional requests to view additional 5 photographs. I believe I was involved in the emails, 6 but not really participating. Ms. Wolff, by the way, is 7 here, General Counsel, if the Court wants to have her 8 represent the FBI in this matter. That -- I understand that Ms. Sims made another 9 10 trip to the FBI field office, I think on the weekend. 11 They -- they opened it up for her to look at the 12 photographs. 13 At some point through this negotiation with the 14 FBI, they agreed to produce copies of some reports, 15 documents and photographs, which they sent to me, which we then copied and passed along in discovery to 16 17 Ms. Sims. 18 The -- the photographs we have are in color. This is the first I've heard that theirs were not. If 19 that's -- if that's the case, I was not aware of that 20 until just a moment ago. 21 22 But as far as I'm aware, the FBI has gone to great 23 lengths to accommodate the defense in allowing them to 24 see the photographs, to understand the full nature of 25 this as-yet-incomplete research project.

And I believe the written form that counsel -- that 1 2 the witness was discussing was provided to the defense, 3 I want to say, early part of last week. But my copy 4 doesn't actually have a date on it. 5 COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you, Ms. Sims. 6 THE COURT: Ms. Sims -- if you're gonna -- both 7 sides, if you're gonna talk, you need to turn the 8 microphones on if you --9 MS. SIMS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. My understanding, I wrote down that it 11 MS. SIMS: 12 was received on the 9th, which was last week. And we 13 did not receive all of the PowerPoints. And what we 14 received were black and white photographs that aren't 15 particularly clear. 16 MR. ASHTON: But there were -- and there were four 17 additional photographs that apparently were requested 18 after that packet was received. Those were provided to me last night. I provided those four to Ms. Sims this 19 morning by leaving them on the table. They are color 20 copies. Again, I was not aware until a moment ago 21 22 that -- that the copies given were not acceptable. I 23 was never informed until just now. 24 MS. SIMS: Your Honor, we were never provided color 25 copies of anything until today. What happened on May

the 3rd was I took Mr. Shaw's deposition. 1 2 previously requested all photographs. 3 THE COURT: Slow down, Ms. Sims. 4 MS. SIMS: Okay. I'm sorry. 5 I had previously requested that all photographs 6 having to do with the experiment be brought to the 7 deposition. I was not advised that they would not be 8 all of them produced. And -- but I guess two-thirds through the 9 10 deposition, some of the photographs were sent to the field office so that I could look at them. But I was 11 12 then told at that deposition that Mr. Shaw did not have 13 all of the photographs. 14 I went to the FBI to look at the color photographs 15 that were provided that I was not permitted to copy and 16 looked at the report that I was not permitted to copy, 17 and there afterwards advised that there were additional 18 photographs that I believe Mr. Shaw took with his cell phone. 19 So I went back to the FBI. I was still not 20 permitted to make color copies of the photographs, take 21 22 copies of anything, and when I requested the ability to 23 speak to Mr. Shaw through Ms. Wolff, that was not able 24 to be done.

So I have not had the opportunity to cross-examine

Mr. Shaw with regard to the actual data, the hard copies 1 2 of the paperwork involving this experiment, to determine 3 if it meets Frye standards. 4 And I would object based on Frye issues, as well, 5 because that has not been established. 6 experiment, and it hasn't been established that the 7 methods are reproducible. 8 But I did not -- I was not -- never given any 9 copies for me to keep or take, and I requested multiple 10 times --MR. ASHTON: That's correct. The FBI indicated 11 12 that because this was an ongoing research project, that 13 they could not release unpublished data. But they have 14 gone to incredible lengths to allow counsel to view 15 these photos. 16 And I think -- I think counsel has not indicated 17 that there's any photograph here she hasn't seen through 18 this process. And I think that is probably an essential I believe she has seen all of these photographs. 19 issue. So at any rate, that's all I have unless the Court wants 20 to hear from General Counsel. 21 22 MR. BAEZ: I would just conclude --23 THE COURT: No, just one second. 24 Mr. Ashton, before Mr. Baez, would you address the 25 issue of improper bolstering?

MR. ASHTON: Your Honor, the -- an issue has been 1 2 raised by the defense through the testimony and 3 cross-examination of Ms. Lowe, as to whether this 4 postmortem artifact can be created by something other 5 than the contact of the hair with a decomposing human 6 body. This is simply further scientific research to 7 answer that question. 8 Bolstering, as I understand the term, would refer 9 to an expert using the -- a published article by someone 10 else during their direct testimony to bolster their own 11 opinion. 12 This is an example of original research by this 13 witness to answer the scientific question of whether 14 this particular postmortem artifact can be created by 15 something other than its contact with a decomposing human body. 16 17 So I don't believe that this would constitute bolstering, which -- part of bolstering is a hearsay 18 issue. You know, you're talking about what someone else 19 This expert is talking about his own research and 20 the outcomes of that research thus far. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Baez? 22 23 MR. BAEZ: Yes. We -- we brought this to the 24 Court's attention back in March. And we had a concern 25 then. And the concern was that we find ourselves in the

middle of trial, and an expert takes the stand, and 1 2 we're talking about things that we haven't had the 3 ability to have an independent examination of under 4 3.220 -- I believe 1(j). 5 And here we are, towards -- near the end of the 6 State's case, where we're talking about a study that we 7 have done every single thing we can, going to the FBI 8 office on Saturday. And the FBI has been very accommodating. There's no doubt about that. There's 9 10 been absolute and complete 100 percent cooperation from the FBI. 11 12 However, that still doesn't take us away from we 13 picked a jury in this case, we've dealt with opening 14 statements, as well as numerous witnesses, 15 cross-examination of another witness, and then here we are talking about -- and showing photographs from --16 17 approximately 30 photographs of a study that we had 18 limited exposure to. That's where we are. 19 Now, if -- if that's fair, I -- I'll accept the 20 Court's ruling. But I think under the multiple areas 21 22 that we've -- that we've laid out, how we've brought it 23 to the Court's attention, how we've been trying to 24 stay -- even in the middle of jury selection, and prep,

and preparing for trial, and actually trying this case,

we have been dealing with the FBI to try and get caught 1 2 up so the Court cannot come to us and say, well, you had 3 an opportunity to do something and you didn't do 4 anything about it. In fact, it's the contrary. We have 5 gone -- we have -- I haven't been able to attend these 6 but, fortunately, Ms. Sims has. But these are the steps 7 that we've taken. 8 And, again, we're -- we're near the end of the 9 State's case, and we get this -- this stuff at the last 10 minute. This is something that is not peer-reviewed. 11 This is a -- a study that was specifically designed for 12 this case. 13 And if the Court wishes to inquire with the 14 witness, this began after the deposition was taken of 15 Mr. Shaw in this case when we went to Washington, Mr. Ashton and myself. 16 17 MR. ASHTON: My I add two points, Judge? THE COURT: You may. 18 MR. ASHTON: If Mr. Baez is done. 19 The first point is that the FBI had offered to 20 transmit these materials to the FBI field office in 21 22 the -- in the location of the defense's expert so that 23 they could examine it. And I understand that the 24 defense did not take them up on that. So there has been 25 an opportunity for their expert to examine this

1 material. 2 Second is this -- and we can take -- and we will 3 get testimony from the witness on this -- this study was 4 contemplated before the deposition in this case. 5 will acknowledge that obviously the deposition spurred 6 him on to get started with it, or words to that effect. But this wasn't -- was started because of an article 7 8 raising the issue, and indicating that additional research needed to be done. So to say it was done for 9 10 this case is absolutely not true, though the case did 11 have some inspiration for it. 12 That's all I want to say. 13 **THE COURT:** Anything else from the defense? 14 MR. BAEZ: Nothing further, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Okay. The defendant in this case is charged by indictment with the crimes of murder in the 16 17 first degree, aggravated child abuse, aggravated 18 manslaughter of a child, and four counts of providing false information to a law enforcement officer. 19 The State has indicated by notice filed that they 20 seek the ultimate sanction in this case, death by lethal 21 22 injection upon the defendant if the defendant is 23 convicted of the crime of murder in the first degree. 24 Rule 3.220 specifically provides the State of 25 Florida with the specific obligation to provide certain

things to the defendant. It is not the FBI's sole 1 2 responsibility to provide particular items. 3 The Sixth Amendment to the United States 4 Constitution made applicable to the states, via the Fourteenth Amendment, provides that in all criminal 5 6 prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to 7 confront the witnesses against him or her. 8 There was no objection lodged at Mr. Shaw's 9 testimony dealing with the particular study. But the 10 Court does find it troubling that the State, at the 11 ninth hour, provides a PowerPoint with color photographs 12 that was not provided to the defense. 13 Therefore, the Court will not permit the 14 utilization of the PowerPoint since it was not provided 15 to the defense. Since there was no objection to his testimony thus far to the study, and he has testified to 16 17 his study, then the record will stay as it is. But the 18 Court will not permit the utilization of the PowerPoint. Simply, we cannot simply say that it's the FBI's 19 study, but -- and that they have a right to disseminate 20 it when they want to. Which may be true. But if they 21 22 want to disseminate the study when they want to, don't 23 expect to use it in a court of law when they want to or 24 when you want to.

It is the State of Florida, who is the proponent

and will be presenting this evidence, and it is the 1 2 State of Florida's obligation, not the Federal Bureau of 3 Investigation, to decide when they want to let something 4 go and when they don't want to let something go, 5 particularly when one wants to initiate the ultimate 6 sanction given by law. 7 Therefore, the Court will sustain the objection to the use of the PowerPoint. 8 9 We will take a five-minute recess so the attorneys 10 and court reporter will refresh themselves. 11 But since there was no objection to that particular 12 testimony, then you can continue. But we will not allow the PowerPoint to be used since it is quite evident that 13 14 depositions were taken dealing with the study. We'll be in recess for five minutes. 15 16 MR. ASHTON: May I ask one point of clarification? 17 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 18 MR. ASHTON: So the Court is indicating none of the photographs that are contained in the PowerPoint should 19 be used? 20 21 THE COURT: Right. Now --22 MR. ASHTON: Okay. That's fine. I understand. 23 THE COURT: -- the flip side to that, now, is if 24 the defense opens the door and starts talking about, 25 where are the photographs, then that may reopen --

MR. ASHTON: Thank you, sir. 1 2 THE COURT: -- the issue for the Court to let it 3 So you -- you cannot use a shield and turn it into 4 a sword. 5 We'll be in recess for five minutes. 6 (A short recess was taken.) 7 THE COURT: You may be seated. 8 MR. BAEZ: Your Honor, may I just clarify something for the record? 9 10 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BAEZ: I would note for the record at 9:06 a.m. 11 12 I did object. And in addition to that, I also renewed 13 our previous motions and objections under Frye, as well. 14 I believe in March when we had this issue come up, 15 we also objected, and I just wanted to clarify that for 16 the record, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Your previous objections that you objected dealt with Frye. And we had a hearing on 18 the Frye issue. The basis of my ruling -- you made a 19 couple more additional objections. They were 20 cumulative, 403, which was undue prejudice, and improper 21 22 bolstering. 23 The Court's ruling was based upon the fact of a 24 Sixth Amendment issue dealing with an exhibit that you, 25 one, had not seen; two, that the items that were

provided to you were provided to you in black and white, 1 2 and these are all color exhibits, where color is not a 3 subissue; that in order to intelligently evaluate these 4 particular exhibits, black and white photographs would 5 be patently unfair. So the Court struck the particular 6 exhibit. 7 You have had an opportunity to take the deposition of the FBI agent. You've had an opportunity to look at 8 9 the study, I presume, and you've had other numerous 10 opportunities to do that. 11 You have not outlined, nor pointed out, anything 12 that this gentleman, Mr. Shaw, has testified to, that 13 you did not know in deposition, or you could not deal 14 with this particular issue of postmortem hair banding. 15 So that was my ruling. 16 This Court felt that this exhibit, even though it 17 was a demonstrative exhibit, it did put the defense at a severe disadvantage by not having an opportunity to view 18 it ahead of time. 19 So that's why, quote, one, I overruled the 20 objection to the testimony about the study. But I 21 22 disallowed the use of the exhibit. You're not saying 23 now that you did not have an opportunity to take 24 Mr. Shaw's deposition?

MR. BAEZ: No, sir.

1 THE COURT: And is there anything that he has 2 testified to that's not contained in his deposition? 3 MR. BAEZ: The only issue, Judge, is that we could 4 not depose him after we had viewed whatever was turned 5 over to us on . . . 6 THE COURT: And if you had been given that 7 opportunity, what information you would have hoped to 8 glean from an additional deposition that you do not 9 already know? You can consult with your colleagues. 10 MR. BAEZ: If I can have a moment? 11 THE COURT: You may. 12 MR. BAEZ: That's just it, Judge, we don't know what we would have gotten from him. We want to be 13 14 able -- we wanted to be able to inquire based on what we 15 were observing and ask him more questions about the 16 study. 17 THE COURT: Okay. The problem with that is what we 18 don't know is a wide universe of things that we don't know. 19 As both sides, the State and the defense, you have 20 to be able to show some type of prejudice, going back to 21 22 Brady versus Maryland and all the line of cases dealing 23 with not having information, a Richardson inquiry, you 24 must be able to show a prejudice as a result. It's not 25 that I don't know. Because I don't know translates into

1 nothing. 2 MR. BAEZ: Well, I could certainly say that we 3 wouldn't have been able to duplicate any of -- of the 4 issues that would come up with this study with our 5 own -- with our own independent expert. That -- that 6 would certainly be one that I don't think we could 7 argue. 8 THE COURT: If we go back in time to when we were 9 talking about this study originally, one of the things I 10 indicated was that unfortunately, throughout this 11 country, and throughout the world, there are scientific 12 studies that spring up and results that occur each and 13 every day. 14 So the possibility that you could not replicate or 15 duplicate is not a basis for an objection. Now, I know 16 of no case that stands for the proposition that you've 17 just cited. Now, if there's one, I'm all ears to listen 18 to it. MR. BAEZ: Well, sir, I would think that there's 19 very limited circumstances where something like this 20 would occur at the end of State -- of the State's case. 21 22 So I would probably agree with that assessment. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So that was my ruling. And I 24 apologize for not making it clearer earlier. But that 25 was the basis of my ruling.

Anything else, folks, before we continue? 1 2 MR. ASHTON: Not from the State of Florida. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Let's return the jury. 4 (Jury entered the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: State recognize the presence of the 6 jury? 7 MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Defense? 9 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir, we do. 10 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Ashton. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUING) 12 BY MR. ASHTON: 13 Mr. Shaw, we were discussing the study that you 14 have performed. Let me go back and ask you, what -- what caused you 15 16 to become interested in doing a study like this? How -- what 17 was the inspiration for that? 18 Um, it really was started because of a thesis that I came across at John Jay College where they had taken hairs 19 20 from living individuals and placed them in soil and in water 21 and had gotten decomposition in those hairs at the root end. 22 Or apparent decomposition. 23 And it was suggested in that study that, um, these 24 hairs -- additional research was needed and that these hairs 25 be compared or hairs like them be compared to postmortem

- 1 hairs. And so that's what I did.
- 2 Q So the thesis study found some sort of artifact in
- 3 hairs in live people in those environmental conditions but
- 4 suggested that further studies needed to be done comparing
- 5 them to actual postmortem hairs?
- 6 **A** That's correct.
- 7 Q Now, did this particular case -- your involvement
- 8 in this particular case, have any -- you know, give you any
- 9 additional interest in doing this study?
- 10 **A** Um, I think I -- I probably would have done the
- 11 study anyway, just not when I did. I think it was more of a
- 12 priority because of this case.
- 13 **Q** Because of the issues in this case?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 **Q** Now, was the purpose -- if you can put it in one
- line for us, what was the purpose of the study? What were
- 17 you trying to figure out?
- 18 A I was trying to recreate banding in hairs from
- 19 living individuals.
- 20 Q Now, in -- do hairs even -- that are not connected
- 21 to living individuals still decompose in some respect?
- 22 **A** Yes. They can if they're exposed.
- 23 Q Um, was the purpose to determine whether this
- 24 particular artifact of decomposition could come from a live
- 25 person's hair? Was that what you were looking for?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q And, again, what -- how did you get the hairs for
- 3 the study?
- 4 A I went through an approval process to -- to collect
- 5 the hair samples, and then I asked for volunteers to
- 6 volunteer their hair samples.
- 7 MR. BAEZ: Judge, I'm going to object and request
- 8 that this testimony be limited. Lack of disclosure. We
- 9 don't have a copy of what he's testifying about.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Objection overruled.
- 11 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 12 **Q** Again, what was the -- how many individuals did you
- 13 take hair samples from?
- 14 A I took hair samples from -- well, I received hair
- 15 samples from 18 individuals. I used 15 in this study.
- 16 Q And were these hairs that were actually pulled from
- 17 the -- the volunteer individuals?
- 18 A Yes, correct.
- 19 **Q** What were the very -- what were the gender
- 20 distribution of these individuals?
- 21 **A** Um, males and females.
- 22 **Q** And what were the age ranges of the individuals?
- 23 A Um, the youngest was three. The oldest was, I
- 24 believe, 53. I may have to check. It's 52 or 53.
- 25 **Q** In their 50s?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And what variety of environmental conditions
- 3 did you expose these hairs to in order to do this study?
- 4 A Indoors on a windowsill, indoors buried in potting
- 5 soil with plants that were watered and fertilized, indoors
- 6 submerged in water, outdoors in an area exposed to sunlight,
- 7 outdoors in a wooded area not exposed to sunlight; and in
- 8 three different vehicles, in the trunk of a vehicle that was
- 9 used during the time period, underneath the seat of a second
- 10 vehicle that was also used during the time period, and on
- 11 the -- in the trunk of a third vehicle that was not used
- 12 during the time period and was parked, remained stationary
- 13 throughout the time period and was parked in direct sunlight.
- 14 • When you say used during the time period, you mean
- 15 someone's vehicle that was used on a daily basis?
- 16 A Correct. Someone continued about their normal use
- 17 with the hairs in their location.
- 18 **Q** And how did you place the hairs, isolate them, so
- 19 that they would be undisturbed for the study, like in the
- 20 cars, for instance?
- 21 A Um, I used tape to, um -- to fold over the distal
- 22 ends, the tip ends, of the hairs, leaving the proximal or
- 23 root end of the hairs exposed. And then I gave these hairs
- 24 to individuals to place in the vehicles and -- and told them,
- 25 you know, not to clean their vehicle or anything, but just

- 1 stick -- place these hairs as they are, as their vehicle is,
- 2 or whatever the conditions, however they exist.
- 3 **Q** All right. And did you check the hairs at
- 4 intervals as to each environmental condition or just at the
- 5 end?
- 6 A I -- I would check sort of an earlier time period,
- 7 so around one to four weeks.
- 8 Then I would check at a longer time period.
- 9 So the ones collected at a shorter time period were
- 10 collected and examined. There were additional hairs that
- 11 were not disturbed, and those were placed between three
- 12 months and approximately seven months.
- 13 **Q** Is the -- the difference between a -- what we --
- 14 you refer to as a postmortem ban -- banding, and other types
- of decomposition a subtle difference?
- 16 MR. BAEZ: Objection. Leading.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** In my opinion, it's -- it's an
- 19 obvious difference.
- 20 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 21 Q And in your study, when -- when you examined all of
- 22 these hairs from all of these different environmental
- 23 conditions, from live people, did you find any that, in your
- 24 opinion, would qualify as postmortem banding?
- A No, I did not.

- 1 Q Now, you discussed a blind study that you did of --
- 2 of some of these hairs and the outcome of that. Tell us
- 3 about that.
- 4 A Yes, I included all the hairs with any possible
- 5 change at the root end into this test, as well as hairs known
- 6 to be removed from deceased individuals. They were also
- 7 included in the same test. And the test was given to two
- 8 different examiners who were asked to identify any hairs
- 9 exhibiting characteristics of apparent decomposition with
- 10 postmortem banding.
- 11 They were given three answer sheets total, an
- 12 answer sheet each for their initial analysis, and then a
- 13 confirmed result answer sheet where they discussed their
- 14 initial analysis to come up with one confirmed result.
- In examiner one's initial analysis, he correctly
- 16 identified all the postmortem band hairs, but also identified
- 17 an antemortem hair to one of the hairs in this study as
- 18 banded.
- 19 Q Let me ask you a question before you go on.
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 **Q** So this examiner number one picked out one of the
- 22 hairs that was from a live person as initially indicating it
- 23 might have postmortem banding; do I have that right?
- 24 A Correct.
- Okay. And how about the other examiner?

- 1 A The second examiner also correctly identified all
- 2 the postmortem banded hairs and also, in his initial
- 3 analysis, identified one of the antemortem hairs in this
- 4 study as -- as banded.
- 5 Q But did he -- did he identify the same one that the
- 6 first examiner had identified?
- 7 A No. They were -- they were different hairs, stored
- 8 under the same conditions, but different hairs.
- 9 And so in their final -- when they discussed and
- 10 they come up with one confirmed result, they excluded those
- 11 two hairs.
- 12 Q Now, that -- that coming together, that
- 13 conferencing, is that something that examiners would do in a
- 14 real case, if they disagreed on a -- a call?
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 17 real-world environment of how examiners come to decisions?
- 18 A It's similar.
- 19 **Q** So in the end, they agreed that neither was
- 20 postmortem banding; is that --
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 **Q** Now, in this study, did you find hairs with
- 23 apparent decomposition?
- 24 **A** No.
- 25 Q And there's -- is postmortem banding sort of a

- 1 specific subset of various artifacts of decomposition of a
- 2 hair?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4 Q So while you found decomposition in the hairs, did
- 5 you find any that had postmortem banding?
- 6 A Not in this study, no.
- 7 **Q** Now, did you also provide, bring with us today, a
- 8 copy of a photograph, a digital copy of a photograph, of the
- 9 actual Q12 hair that you examined as a confirming examiner
- 10 with Ms. Lowe back in 2008?
- 11 **A** Yes.
- 12 MR. ASHTON: At this time, Your Honor, move into
- evidence State's Exhibit QM.
- 14 **THE COURT:** What says the defense?
- MR. BAEZ: No objections.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Be received in evidence as State's
- 17 numbered?
- 18 **THE CLERK:** 301.
- 19 MR. ASHTON: May I publish, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 21 (The exhibit was published to the jury.)
- 22 BY MR. ASHTON:
- Q Mr. Shaw, you see the -- State's Exhibit 301 that's
- 24 on the screen in front of you?
- 25 **A** Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q And is that the photograph of the particular hair
- 2 which is designated Q12 in this case?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 MR. ASHTON: May I publish it?
- 5 **THE COURT:** You may publish it.
- 6 (The exhibit was published to the jury.)
- 7 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 8 Q Okay. Now that we have the actual photograph in
- 9 front of us, can you show the jury the postmortem band that
- 10 you saw in this -- in this case and on your confirming
- 11 examination?
- 12 A Sure. The band is in-between these two lines. So
- 13 that dark, opaque area, above the root.
- 14 **Q** And is that a fairly classic example of postmortem
- 15 banding?
- 16 MR. BAEZ: Objection, Judge, improper bolstering.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** It's what I would identify as -- as
- 19 postmortem banding, yes. It's a band above the root,
- 20 root bulb.
- 21 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 22 **Q** And, again, it's -- that artifact we see in this
- 23 photograph was -- was that seen in any of the photographs of
- 24 your -- in your study involving live persons?
- 25 A No, it was not.

```
1
               MR. ASHTON: No further questions.
               MR. BAEZ: Objection. Move to strike the last
 2
 3
          question and answer.
 4
               THE COURT: Motion to Strike will be denied.
 5
               Cross-examination.
 6
               MR. BAEZ: If I could have just a moment, Judge?
 7
               THE COURT: You may.
 8
               MR. BAEZ: May it please the Court?
 9
               THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Baez.
10
               MR. BAEZ: Mr. Ashton.
11
               Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
12
               THE JURY: Good morning.
13
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
    BY MR. BAEZ:
15
               Good morning, Mr. Shaw.
          Q
               Good morning.
16
          Α
17
          Q
               How are you, sir?
18
          Α
               Very good.
               I want to ask you just a few questions about, first
19
          Q
     off, the hair that you observed in this case, the Q12, the
20
     one we saw in the photograph, you testified that when you got
21
22
     the 59, Q59, which was the hair mat, from Suburban Drive,
23
     that the characteristics were not similar, correct?
24
               What I -- what I was speaking of is the
25
     characteristics of the root portion itself. I did not do a
```

- 1 side-by-side comparison of the root portions. But the hair
- 2 from the trunk had root material below the band. The hairs
- 3 in the hair mass had a dark band just above the end of the
- 4 root, but it had more of a brush-like -- what I would
- 5 classify as a brush-like appearance to it.
- 6 **Q** So it was a different appearance to you?
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 Q And that's why you testified that you would not say
- 9 it's similar?
- 10 A Speaking just about the root portions, that's
- 11 correct, they were dissimilar.
- 12 **Q** Okay. And when you observed -- and you did this
- 13 study, you were prompted to do it based on the thesis from
- 14 John Jay College, the graduate student, correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q And this study found live people to have apparent
- 17 decomposition and postmortem root banding, did it not, from
- 18 live people?
- 19 A No, they did not make that conclusion. They
- 20 referred to some of their changes as fraying, darkening. And
- 21 they did refer to some of their changes as banding, but they
- 22 indicated it was a band closer, further down into the root,
- 23 so closer to the end of the root than -- than postmortem is
- observed.
- Okay. And that would be the one you would find

- 1 similar from -- that description would best fit the
- 2 description of the Q59 sample that you have examined?
- 3 A Um, it -- it's closer to that. But what -- what
- 4 they were seeing as decomposition in the actual root material
- 5 itself. The hair mass did not have much of any root material
- 6 remaining. It was -- it was mostly just a shaft, the band
- 7 just above the end of the hair.
- 8 Q Now, the study that you have you were -- and from
- 9 what you've also seen in your experience, you've seen
- 10 darkening near the root or actually on the root, correct,
- 11 from live people?
- 12 **A** In this study, yes. Down -- down into the root,
- 13 root bulb, yes.
- 14 Q And you've also seen it further up the hair,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A We've seen striations further up the hair.
- 17 **Q** Okay. And I -- I know in your testimony you claim
- 18 that the difference was -- is obvious between what you call
- 19 apparent decomposition and postmortem root banding, right?
- 20 A Correct. Well, I'm sorry. Apparent decomposition
- 21 is -- is an umbrella of different types of -- of
- 22 decomposition that we see. As far as apparent decomposition
- 23 from -- removed from individuals after they have deceased,
- 24 postmortem banding is one of the types of apparent
- 25 decomposition that we see.

- 1 **Q** It's a broader term?
- 2 A Apparent decomposition is a broader term?
- \mathbf{Q} Yes.
- 4 A Yes, correct.
- 5 Q And that's what Ms. Lowe indicated in her report,
- 6 that there was apparent decomposition, correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Okay. And the -- you had mentioned that the
- 9 differences, of course, are obvious to you.
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 **Q** Okay. But they weren't quite obvious to the
- 12 examiners that -- that you -- that assisted you in this
- 13 study, correct?
- 14 A Correct. In their initial analysis, they did
- 15 identify one of the antemortem hairs each as -- as banded.
- 16 Q So what we're really talking about, when you look
- 17 at some darkening, and let's -- let's take this step by step,
- 18 you get a hair, you inspect it under a microscope, correct?
- 19 A Correct, I examine it using a microscope.
- 20 **Q** And you make a call, you make a decision, you
- 21 give -- you give your opinion?
- 22 A Correct. We examine the hair and the
- 23 characteristics that are present, and based on our training
- 24 and our experience, we reach conclusions.
- Okay. And when these two different examiners, on

- 1 two different hairs, made -- gave their opinion, they were
- 2 wrong?
- 3 A Correct, they did commit errors.
- 4 Q Okay. And when we say they were wrong, we're
- 5 really just saying that they said what was banding is
- 6 different than what you say is banding, correct?
- 7 A No, that's -- that's incorrect. They realized
- 8 between themselves they made errors in their initial analysis
- 9 and were able to exclude through -- with the confirmed
- 10 results were able to exclude those two hairs.
- 11 Q Let me see if I can -- redo the question. Because
- 12 I'm not certain I asked you this correctly.
- 13 MR. ASHTON: Objection as to counsel's commentary.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase your question.
- 15 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 16 Q Let me rephrase this, sir.
- 17 **A** Sure.
- 18 You don't know if postmortem banding is exclusively
- 19 from people who are deceased, correct?
- 20 **A** That's correct.
- 21 \mathbf{Q} And you could never testify in a court of law to
- 22 such a fact?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q Okay. So when someone says, this is postmortem
- 25 root banding, they're not saying it's from a dead person,

- 1 correct?
- 2 **A** That's correct, no, not exclusively.
- 3 Q Okay. So that's what I meant when I said the
- 4 person who made the call, they were wrong, according to what
- 5 you consider postmortem root banding?
- A Well, not just what I consider postmortem root
- 7 banding, but also the two of them. I mean, once they did
- 8 initial analysis and discussions, they excluded those hairs.
- 9 Okay. Let the -- let me take this step by step.
- 10 There's examiner A and examiner B, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q And these are people who are just as qualified as
- 13 you are?
- 14 A Yes, that's correct.
- 15 **Q** They have the same training you go through?
- 16 **A** That's correct.
- 17 Q And they have the same amount of experience as you
- 18 do?
- 19 **A** No.
- 20 **Q** More?
- 21 A Less.
- 22 **Q** Less. Okay.
- And why did you choose someone for your study with
- less experience?
- 25 **A** They were two examiners that were not involved in

- 1 my initial analysis of -- of these hairs.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 **A** So --
- 4 Q Sorry. And they have observed the same
- 5 characteristics in other hairs?
- 6 A I'm sorry, can you clarify that?
- 7 Q They have -- examiner A and examiner B have both
- 8 seen apparent decomposition in hairs? Is that a yes?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And they've also seen what is called
- 11 postmortem banding hairs?
- 12 **A** Yes.
- 13 **Q** So when examiner A inspected the hair and found
- 14 postmortem root banding, his opinion from that inspection is
- 15 different from what you would say is postmortem root banding?
- 16 A In that initial analysis, that's correct.
- 17 Q Okay. And when examiner B did the examination and
- 18 found postmortem root banding, his opinion is different than
- 19 what your opinion would be?
- 20 **A** Correct. In that initial analysis, that's correct.
- 21 Q Okay. Now, this is still a very ongoing area of
- 22 forensic science, is it not?
- 23 A There's still research being done. I mean, I'm
- 24 conducting research myself. It's -- it's pretty
- 25 well-established, um, discipline. But it's mostly, for the

- 1 most part, based on experience, experience of hair examiners
- 2 in observing hairs that are known to be from deceased, hairs
- 3 known to be from living individuals and noticing these
- 4 differences.
- 5 Q Now, you've never testified in a court of law about
- 6 postmortem root banding, have you, sir?
- 7 A I have not.
- 8 Q And this is your very first time in the United
- 9 States testifying to such a thing?
- 10 **A** That's correct.
- Okay. And when you -- after -- you submitted a
- 12 deposition in this case, correct?
- 13 **A** I did.
- 14 **Q** I was present?
- 15 **A** Yes.
- 16 **Q** And Mr. Ashton was present?
- 17 **A** Yes.
- 18 **Q** And this occurred in Washington, D.C.?
- 19 **A** Yes.
- 20 \mathbf{Q} Okay. And subsequent to us taking your deposition,
- 21 you submitted a -- a -- for an approval of a validation study
- of postmortem root banding, did you not?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And in that submission, you requested approval for
- 25 this project to be expedited?

- 1 A Yes, that's right.
- 2 Q And that is in order for it to be complete before
- 3 trial?
- 4 A Yes, correct.
- 5 Q And this was subsequent to you giving your opinion
- 6 in this case?
- 7 **A** It was after the deposition.
- 8 **Q** Yes.
- 9 And after also reports that you submitted in this
- 10 case?
- 11 **A** Yes, that's correct.
- 12 **Q** So to sum it up, you gave -- you wrote your report,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A (Nods head.)
- 15 Q Is that a yes?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q You got to say yes for the court reporter.
- 18 **A** Sure.
- 19 **Q** And you testified under oath?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 \mathbf{Q} And then you did a validation study for postmortem
- 22 root banding?
- 23 A That's correct. We -- I mean, the -- the
- 24 postmortem root banding was already what I would consider
- 25 valid. It was based primarily on experience. Not a lot of

- 1 research days have gone into studying the effects of
- 2 environmental hairs on antemortem. So that's why I did look
- 3 into that especially after coming across the thesis from John
- 4 Jay College.
- 5 **Q** And a huge part of that, what's established, is
- 6 that you cannot say postmortem root banding comes from a dead
- 7 person?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 And from what you observed, even in your own study,
- 10 after you gave your opinions in this case, was that
- 11 subjecting hairs to different environments can alter the
- 12 appearance of a hair to include that -- to include darkening
- on the hair, correct?
- 14 A You can get darkening on hair, yes.
- 15 To the point where even a trained forensic examiner
- 16 would call it postmortem root banding?
- 17 A That's what occurred in their initial analysis,
- 18 correct.
- 19 Q Now, again, what you received in this case was only
- 20 one hair?
- 21 A I'm sorry?
- 22 **Q** From -- excuse me, the Q12 and what was given to
- 23 you initially, was only one hair?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And in your experience, human beings shed many

- 1 hairs all the time?
- 2 A Yes, they do shed. We shed on average around a
- 3 hundred hairs per day. Most of the hairs, though, that are
- 4 shed, are naturally shed hairs that have a club-like
- 5 appearance to them.
- 6 Q And then sometimes someone brushes their hair or
- 7 something happens and someone's hair will stick on some
- 8 clothing, right?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 **Q** All right. And one of the reasons you have a job
- is because hairs are found everywhere at scenes, correct?
- 12 A Yes, correct.
- 13 **Q** In fact, it's one of the most -- it's one of the
- 14 most frequent things that are left at a scene?
- 15 **A** We see hairs at scenes all the time.
- 16 Q And a lot of these hairs aren't coming off of
- 17 someone pulling hair or brushing their hair or anything like
- 18 that. There are things called transfer, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And when we say transfer, we're referring to, I
- 21 have some contact with you, somehow your hair ends up on
- 22 my -- on my jacket sleeve, and I can transfer it somewhere
- 23 else, correct?
- 24 A Correct. That's called an indirect transfer.
- 25 Q And also called secondary transfer?

- 1 A Yes.
- 3 somehow, somewhere, and I come into contact with something,
- 4 and that sheds a hair?
- 5 A Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q All right. And the likelihood of transfer -- or
- 7 saying if you only find one hair, that is consistent with
- 8 transfer, is it not?
- 9 A I'm sorry, can you clarify that?
- 10 **Q** If I have one hair that I leave at a crime scene,
- 11 and that hair is there, it doesn't necessarily mean the
- 12 person was there, does it?
- 13 A That's correct. I can't tell how that hair got to
- 14 that location.
- 15 And the fact that you would find one hair at a
- 16 scene, and not a bunch of hairs, that is consistent with
- 17 transfer, is it not, sir?
- 18 A I'm sorry, can you clarify that?
- 19 Q Sure. I'll rephrase the question. No problem.
- 20 If you -- if you find multiple hairs, numerous
- 21 hairs, that's not as consistent with transfer as much as if
- 22 you only find one hair, correct?
- 23 A Well, finding any hairs, um, separate from a
- 24 person's scalp, would be transfer.
- 25 **Q** It would be consistent with transfer, correct?

- 1 A Finding any hairs in a location other than the
- 2 person's scalp would indicate a transfer occurred.
- 3 **Q** And the likelihood is even increased more so if you
- 4 only find one hair, correct?
- 5 A It's a transfer whether it's one hair or many
- 6 hairs.
- 8 person being at that location, that's what I'm referring to.
- 9 I know that they're all considered transfer.
- But generally speaking, as opposed to the person
- 11 actually being in that location, it is more consistent with
- 12 transfer if you just find one hair, wouldn't you agree?
- 13 **A** Direct transfer?
- 14 **Q** Correct.
- 15 **A** It -- it may indicate that. I mean, again, we
- 16 don't know, even from a single hair, how it -- how it got to
- 17 that location, whether it was directly transferred, meaning
- 18 it went directly from the source to that location, or
- 19 indirectly transferred, meaning it went to another
- 20 intermediate object and then to that case -- and then to that
- 21 location.
- 22 **Q** And to conclude on your study, the environmental
- 23 conditions were completely different from your study than --
- they weren't done in Florida, were they?
- A No, they were not.

- 1 Q Where were they done, sir?
- 2 A They were conducted around Quantico, Virginia.
- What time of the year?
- A Between the months of August and April.
- 5 Q Get pretty cold up there during those months?
- 6 A It does.
- 7 Q And, of course, climate and heat is the biggest
- 8 factor that speeds up the decomposition process, is it not?
- 9 A That's my understanding.
- 10 Q Heat plays a major role in decomposition, does it
- 11 not, sir?
- 12 **A** My understanding is that heat can increase the rate
- 13 of decomposition. But that's really not my area of
- 14 expertise.
- 15 MR. BAEZ: Thank you, sir.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Any redirect?
- 17 MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir.
- 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. ASHTON:
- 20 Q Just a couple of questions, sir.
- 21 The brush-like appearance that counsel asked you
- 22 about that distinguished the Q59 hairs found at the scene of
- 23 the body, and the Q12 hair from the trunk, is that an
- indicator of more advanced decomposition?
- 25 **A** Yes. The -- the hair mass and the brush-like

- 1 appearance is an indicator of -- of further decomposition.
- 2 Q The two hairs that Mr. Baez spent some time
- 3 speaking to you about that initial examiners included as
- 4 banding and then excluded, what were the environmental
- 5 conditions under which those two hairs were -- were
- 6 maintained?
- 7 **A** They were both submerged in water for approximately
- 8 17 days.
- 9 Q So neither one of those hairs was in the trunk of a
- 10 car?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 **Q** The Q12 hair that counsel was asking you about, you
- 13 talked about shed hairs, was Q12 a shed hair?
- 14 A No. 012 was an anagen or stretch root hair which
- 15 would indicate some force was required to remove that hair.
- 16 Q In all of -- and counsel correctly pointed out that
- 17 you see hairs all the time from crime scenes, correct?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q Over -- over your career, would it be a fair
- 20 statement that you've seen thousands of hairs?
- 21 **A** That's fair, yes.
- 22 **Q** Aside from this particular case, the Q12 hair, have
- 23 you ever seen a hair with the decomp band that didn't come
- 24 from a corpse?
- 25 A No, I have not.

MR. ASHTON: No further questions. 1 2 THE COURT: Recross as to those issues and those 3 issues alone. 4 MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir. 5 RECROSS EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. BAEZ: 7 Q That last question that Mr. Ashton asked you, 8 you've only -- this is the first time you've testified about 9 this topic, correct? 10 Yes, it is. 11 Okay. And are you aware of the thesis that was Q 12 conducted that you based this study on, the -- the underlying 13 conclusion? 14 I -- I've read it. I'm familiar with it. 15 Okay. Are you aware of the conclusion that --0 16 MR. ASHTON: Objection, hearsay, nonauthoritative. 17 Approach? I can explain more. THE COURT: You may. 18 (The following conference was held at the bench.) 19 MR. ASHTON: Your Honor, I don't -- add an 20 additional objection. It's beyond the scope of the 21 22 redirect, which didn't go into the study. 23 However, counsel reading from a document is hearsay 24 unless it is an authoritative treatise. This is a 25 student thesis from John Jay College which has not been

established as being antitreatise. 1 The witness did talk about the study being part of 2 3 why he started this. I didn't have any objection to 4 generalized discussions by counsel, but to read the 5 conclusion of that study is hearsay and not 6 authoritative and improper cross-examination and beyond 7 the scope of redirect. 8 MR. BAEZ: One, I don't believe it was beyond the 9 scope. 10 Two, the witness has testified that he studied this 11 area. And part of that was the use of this study. I'll 12 withdraw the -- I won't read from the document. I 13 can -- he said he's familiar with it. I am certain he 14 doesn't remember the exact conclusion, but I can refresh his recollection with it. 15 16 MR. ASHTON: Still --17 THE COURT: Okay. Just a second. Just a second, 18 folks. (Reviewing realtime screen.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. As to objection beyond the 20 scope, it will be sustained. Because he only covered 21 22 the following three areas: There were questions about 23 Q12 dealing with indications of advanced decomposition. 24 He asked about environmental conditions of two hairs and 25 hair banding, and he asked him -- his concluding line of

questioning dealt with in his number of years, have he 1 2 ever seen that other than in decomposition, that type of 3 hair. So those were the three areas of his redirect. 4 So the study would be beyond the scope of his 5 redirect --6 MR. BAEZ: I -- I --7 THE COURT: -- so it will be sustained. 8 MR. BAEZ: -- I would request to go outside the 9 scope. 10 **THE COURT:** For what basis? 11 MR. BAEZ: To get the -- to be able to get that 12 information out of him. 13 THE COURT: What information are you seeking to 14 get, Mr. Baez? MR. BAEZ: That his conclusion of -- of the study 15 and what prompted his study was that -- the consequences 16 17 of misidentification as it relates to postmortem root 18 banding when it could be environmental factors. Basically, that environmental factors, that there's 19 an ongoing issue of if there are environmental factors 20 being a reason for misidentification. 21 22 MR. ASHTON: That doesn't appear to be what the 23 quote is, though. 24 MR. BAEZ: It is. I was summarizing it. 25 MR. ASHTON: Well, at any rate, I do think counsel

could have asked the question on cross originally. It 1 2 is beyond the scope and so . . . 3 THE COURT: Let me see the -- let me see what 4 you're talking about. 5 MR. ASHTON: Can I look at it now? 6 THE COURT: Yeah. 7 MR. ASHTON: Okay. 8 MR. BAEZ: Harmless question. MR. ASHTON: It's -- Judge, it's an argumentative 9 10 question. It's not a scientific question. conclusion is not a scientific one, it is a legal one. 11 12 And I think counsel can make that argument before the 13 jury. But to do it is outside the scope, and I don't 14 believe it would be a proper question anyway. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Just a second. 16 (Brief pause.) 17 THE COURT: I will permit him to ask one question and appropriate follow-up questions out of abundance of 18 caution on the environmental effects on hair. That 19 could cause confusion. Okay. 20 21 MR. ASHTON: But not quoting the item. 22 THE COURT: No, not quoting that, not the study. 23 MR. ASHTON: That's fine. Thank you. 24 (The following proceedings were held on the record

25

in open court.)

- 1 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Baez.
- 2 MR. BAEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 3 BY MR. BAEZ:
- 4 Q Mr. Shaw, just two quick points that I'd like to
- 5 touch upon.
- 6 Based on your studies and experience, it is true
- 7 that environmental effects could cause confusion in
- 8 identifying or misidentifying postmortem root banding,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Without proper training, there is that possibility.
- 11 Q Now, when you testified about the type of root on
- 12 the Q12 hair, and you said that some force would have had to
- 13 have been used, that doesn't mean that someone pulled that
- 14 hair out, correct?
- 15 A Not necessarily, no.
- 16 Q In fact, it could have come out through a brush?
- 17 A It could have.
- 18 **Q** And any -- and numerous other ways, as well,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- MR. BAEZ: No further questions.
- MR. ASHTON: One follow-up?
- THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 24 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. ASHTON:

```
1
          Q
               Would moving a dead body be one of those ways that
 2
     the hair could have been pulled out?
 3
          Α
               It could have, yes.
 4
               MR. ASHTON: No further questions.
               THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
 5
 6
               MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
 7
               MR. ASHTON: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Shaw. You may be
 8
 9
          excused.
10
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 4 STATE OF FLORIDA: 5 COUNTY OF ORANGE: 6 I, Nikki Peters, CRR, RPR, Official Court Reporter of the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Florida, do hereby certify 7 pursuant to Florida Rules of Judicial Administration 8 9 2.535(h)(3), that I was authorized to and did report in 10 stenographic shorthand the foregoing proceedings, and that 11 thereafter my stenographic shorthand notes were transcribed 12 to typewritten form by the process of computer-aided 13 transcription, and that the foregoing pages contain a true 14 and correct transcription of my shorthand notes taken therein. 15 16 WITNESS my hand this _____ day of _____ 17 18 2011, in the City of Orlando, County of Orange, 19 State of Florida. 20 21 22 23 Nikki Peters Certified Real-time Reporter 24 25